

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/718,921		11/21/2003	Michael Shu-Huan Wang	TI-34402	2399		
23494	7590	01/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		ENTS INCORPO	MCDONALD, SHANTESE L				
P O BOX (DALLAS,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				3723			
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-c
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,921	WANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shantese L. McDonald	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-8 and 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	election requirement.	≣xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Ex.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,2,4-8 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tolles.

Tolles teaches an apparatus for cleaning a polishing pad of a CMP polishing system which includes a first drive device coupled with the polishing pad, 100, and a second drive device for bringing the wafer, 20, into contact with the polishing pad, a dispenser, 40, located above the polishing pad, and adapted for dispensing a slurry, 32, to the polishing pad, an extension, which includes piping, and a plurality of nozzles, 44, 46, for delivering a wash solution, which is de-ionized water, to the polishing pad, the piping coupled to and extending from the dispenser toward a center axis of the pad, and along an area from the circumference of the pad to the center axis, the piping cooperable to direct a water spray to the pad at a ninety degree angle, (col. 8, lines 23-44, fig. 6).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that the dispenser of Tolles does not direct solution to an area proximate and including the center axis. The Examiner disagrees. The Tolles reference teaches that the dispenser directs either a slurry or a cleaning solution over the surface of the polishing pad, (col. 8, lines 23-44). That teaching along with fig.1 of Tolles, which shows the dispenser, 40, projecting from an edge to the center of the polishing pad, teaches that the solution would indeed be directed to an area proximate to and including the center axis.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.L.M. January 22, 2006

Joseph J. Hail, III
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3700